IN THE UNTED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JUNIOR M. RICKETTS A27-024-434

PETITIONER

V.

Charles Mule, Facilities Director,
Buffalo Federal Detention Facility
David Venurella, Director
Post-Order Detention Unit,
Bureau of Immigration and Customs
Enforcement;
Peter J. Smith, District Director,
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services;
Alberto Gonzales, Attorney General of the
United States.



07-CV-0138-Sr

RESPONDENT

MEMORANDUM IN RESPONSE TO RESPONDENT'S SUPPLEMENTAL AFFIDAVIT

STATEMENT

1. Under Zadvydas v. Davis, a person who claim to be a United States citizen and whose claim is not recognized by the Immigration and Custom Enforcement(ICE) cannot be indefinite detain because he seek judicial review of such claim. The United States Supreme Court has established that a person in the United States can claim superior constitutional protection, and if he is ordered deported he

has a constitutional right to a de novo judicial hearing on an unfrivolous claim to American citizenship. See Ng Fung Hov. White, 259 U.S. 276 (1922); Agosto v. INS, 436 U.S. (1978).

Petitioner ("Ricketts", "Petitioner") has yet to have his claim to United States Citizenship judicial decided, as all attempts to raise the issue in the Court of Appeal for the Third Circuit were denied for lack of jurisdiction. However, On October 14, 2008, Ricketts filed in the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit a Motion to Reinstate Petition For Review under docketed # 00-3270. See Exhibit A, pp. 8-23.

2. Ricketts is a citizen of the United States. <u>See</u> Exhibit A, pp. 1-5. <u>See also</u> (Docket No. 1, Petitioner's habeas corpus). He was wrongfully removed to Jamaica on April 03, 2000, while his claim to United States citizenship was pending in the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. On or about February 2003, after spending more than two years in the Jamaica Constabulary Force Immigration Remand Center, he was removed from the Island by the Jamaican Government and repatriated home as a United States citizen with a United States Passport that was issued by the State

Department. A passport that DHS claim without prove that it was fraudulently obtained. See Exhibit A. p. 6.

Unfortunately, after returning home, Ricketts get in trouble with the law and was sentenced to 3 to 6 years. On September 5, 2006, after he was released from the New York State authority, Ricketts was placed in the custody of ICE on a reinstatement order of removal that was not served pursuant to C.F.R. \S 103. 5a(c)(2)(i).

Ricketts petition the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit indicating that the reinstated order of removal was not served according to federal regulation and the fact that he is a United States citizen. The Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit dismissed Ricketts' petition for lack of jurisdiction without making any determination on the merit of his claim to United States Citizenship. Throughout his ICE incarceration, Ricketts has been trying to have his citizenship claim judicial decided without success (George F.Scott, Supplemental Affidavit Exhibit B, pp. 9-31), which is a constitutional rights guaranteed by the United States constitution. See Ng Fung Ho v. White, 259 U.S. 276 (1922); Agosto v. INS, 436 U.S. (1978).

Even though there is no judicial determination of Ricketts' claim to United States citizenship, and the fact

that ICE has failed to prosecute for illegal entry, or false claim to U.S. citizenship, ICE continue to maintain that Ricketts is a Jamaican citizen when they are aware of the fact that he was removed form the Island by the Jamaican Government and admitted as a United States citizenship. See Exhibit A, p. 6.

On May 11, 2005, the REAL ID Act of 2005, Pub. L. 10913, 119 Stat. 231 was enacted. This new law purports to
eliminate habeas corpus jurisdiction over challenges to
final orders of removal, deportation, or exclusion. As such,
Ricketts is prevented to bring his claim of United States
Citizenship in this Court, and until an alternative forum is
available for his United States citizenship claim to be
judicial decided, he will remain a stateless person under
the danger of indefinite detention.

ARGUMENT

RICKETTS' Indefinite DETENTION IS UNLAWFUL

A. Preliminary Statement

Prolonged detention raises substantial questions of constitution dimensions. <u>See Jabir v. Ashcroft</u>, No. Civ. A. 03-2480, 2004 WL 60318, at 86(E.L. La. Jan. 8, 2004)."

Deportable aliens, even those who have already been ordered removed, possess a substantive Fifth Amendment liberty interest..." Ly v. Hansen, 351 F.3d 263, 269 (6th Cir. 2003). According, aliens under an order of removal are entitled to an opportunity to be heard on the question of prolonged detention, and they may be kept locked up only if incarceration is justified. As recognized in Ngo v. INS, F.3d 390, 397(3d Cir. 1999). " Freedom 192 imprisonment-from government custody, detention, or other from of physical restraint-lies at the heart of the liberty that the Fifth Amendment's Due Process Clause protects." Zadvydas, 533 U.S. at 690, 121 S.Ct. 2491. In Zadvydas, the Court stated that " government detention violates that Clause unless the detention is ordered in a criminal proceeding with adequate procedural protections, or, in certain special and 'narrow' non-punitive 'circumstance,' where a special justification, such as harm-threatening mental illness, outweighs the 'individual's constitutionally protected interest in avoiding physical restrain.'" Id. fact that Petitioner, in this instant case, is seeking to have his claim of United States citizen judicial decided, and a relief from an unlawful detention that does not undermine the bedrock principal that there must be a "special justification" outweighing the alien's constitutional-protected interest in liberty, as well as "adequate procedural protections" to continue incarceration.

Id. At 690, 691, 121 S.Ct. 491.

1. Petitioner Has failed to Cooperate

Respondents contends in their supplemental affidavit that Ricketts failed to cooperate. Such contention is meritless. The relevant statutory provision provides that the 90-day removal period may be extended beyond the 90 days and the alien may remain in detention during that period only "if the alien fails or refuses to make application in good faith for travel or other documents necessary to the alien's departure or conspires or act to prevent that alien's removal subject to an order removal." 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(1)(C). This statutory provision cannot be applied to Ricketts. Ricketts has provided truthful information to ICE concerning his place of birth and citizenship even though this may not be the information that ICE would like to have. See Exhibit A, pp. 1-5. Conversely, if Ricketts is not, in fact, a Jamaica citizen and therefore, cannot possibly obtain.. or even attempt obtaining..any valid proof of a Jamaica citizenship,

Respondents cannot be expected to remove him to a country having no ties with Petitioner. Pelich, 329 F.3d at 1060. In addition, the Jamaican Government cannot denied the fact that when Ricketts was wrongfully deported to the Island of Jamaica on April 03, 2000, he was placed in the Immigration Custody Remand Center, in Kingston, and after two years of incarceration he was removed from the Island by the Jamaica Government. See Exhibit A, pp. 6-7.

The Government cites no cases in support of its position that it may indefinitely detain an alleged alien whose claim to United States citizenship is not yet judicial decided. Therefore, Respondents' contention that Ricketts is not cooperating is meritless, and provides no basis for the suspension of the removal period or Ricketts' continued unlawful detention. See Shefqet v. Ashcroft, No. 01c7737, 2003WL 1964290, *4(N.D. ILL. Apr.28, 2003) (rejecting ICE's allegation of noncooperation as baseless where alien obtained his birth certificate and sought information from Yugoslavian Embassy regarding ICE's application for a travel document).

Moreover, to the extent that Respondents attempt to "suspend" the removal period after the removal period has already expired, the removal statute plainly does not permit

such maneuvering. The Government's regulations provide that the Government must provide the alien with a "'Notice of Failure to Comply'...before the expiration of the removal period." 8 C.F.R. § 241.4(g)(1)(C)(ii) (emphasis added). If the act that the Government now deems as noncooperation took place during the 90-day removal period, the Government had every opportunity to invoke § 1231(a)(1)(C) during the removal period itself. This it failed to do without justification. Once the removal period has the run, Government cannot retroactively suspend that period pursuant to § 1231(a)(1)(C) when the act alleged to constitute noncooperation fell squarely within the removal period. See Seretse-Khama v. Ashcroft, 215 F.Supp. 2d 37, 53 n.19 (D.D.C.2002).

2. Ricketts is Likely To Be Removed In the Reasonably Foreseeable Future.

Respondents contention in the supplemental affidavit that Ricketts is likely to be removed in the reasonably foreseeable future is also meritless. Ricketts was removed from the Island by the Jamaican Government and repatriated home as a United States citizen. (Exhibit A, p.6.). Therefore, ICE has not demonstrated on how they intended in

getting a travel document from the Jamaican Government who had denied Ricketts admittance in April 03, 2000, when he was illegally deported there and later removed him.

Furthermore, already, Ricketts has been detained for over 24 months. This period of detention exceeds the sixpresumptively reasonable period of month authorized by Zadvydas. Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678, 701 (2001). Although respondents state that they have made a request for travel documents from the Jamaica Consulate, the fact is that no travel documents have been issued to date. Because, the Consulate Of Jamaica has not issued travel documents, and that there is no evidence when, if ever, travel documents will be issued, ICE has not satisfied its burden and Ricketts must be released. See Shefqet v. Ashcroft, No. 02 C 7737, 2003 WL1964290, 5(N.D. Ill. Apr. 28, 2003) (INS failed to carry burden of proof where no travel documents had been issued, Yugoslavian alien had been detained for 17 month, and INS had been able to remove other aliens to Yugoslavia during the period); Okwilagwe v. INS, No. 3-01-CV-1416, 2002 WL 356758, *2-3(N.D.Tex. Mar. 1, 2002) (INS failed to sustain its burden of showing aliens removal to Nigeria would occur in reasonably foreseeable future where alien detained for 11 months, and travel

documents not issued and no certainty as to when they might be issued). See Also Seretse-Khama v. Ashcroft, 215 F. Supp. 2d 37, 53 (D.D.C.2002) (finding that Respondents failed to meet their burden of proof under Zadvydas where they "have not demonstrated to this court that any travel documents are in hand, nor have they provided any evidence, or even assurance from the Ligerian Government that travel document will be issued in a matter of days or week or even months").

The fact that Ricketts has meet his burden of proof of demonstrating that there is good reason to believe there is no significant likelihood of his removal to Jamaica in the reasonably foreseeable future, as described by the Supreme Court in Zadvydas, Ricketts' continued detention is unlawful and unconstitutional.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this Court grant summary judgment in favor of Ricketts, and order his release under appropriate ICE supervision.

Date: October 16, 2008

Respectfully Submitted

unior M. Ricketts

Pro Se

Buffalo Federal petention Facility

4250 Federal Drive

Batavia, NY 14020

EXHIBIT A

Junior Ricketts A 27-024-434 Buffalo Federal Detention Facility 4250 Federal Drive Batavia, NY 14020

October 10, 2008

Daniel F. Henderson Deportation Officer Buffalo Federal Detention Facility 4250 Federal Drive Batavia, NY 14020

Re: Warning for Failure to Depart

Dear Deportation Henderson:

In response to our last conversation on October 10, 2008, attached is a copy of my birth certificate and expired passport as you had requested. However, if these are not the information that you were expecting, or it is still your position that I am not a United States citizen, then just as I had previously explained to Deportation Officer Earl DeLong, I suggests you exercise your prosecutorial authority and file charges for illegal entry and false claim to U.S. Citizenship.

I will assure you that the evidence that was used by your previous administration (INS) in an administrative court to have me ordered removed to a country not of my birth, will not stand a chance under the rule of evidence in an federal courts.

Therefore, until my claim to United States citizenship is judicial decided as guaranteed by the United States constitution, I would be very grateful if you stop insulting my intelligence, and stop treating me with indefinite detention everything we have a conversation.

Sincerely

cc/File



THE CITY OF NEW YORK

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH **BUREAU OF VITAL RECORDS** CERTIFICATION OF BIRTH



This is a certification of name and birth facts on file in the Bureau of Vital Records, Department of Health, City of New York.

10-26-64

DATE OF

AUGUST 31, 1964

156-64-337372

SOROUGH

BROOKLYN

03-30-90

NAME

JUNIOR MOHAMMED RICKETTS ***

SEX

MALE

MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME

LIZZIE MAE PAGE

FATHER'S NAME ROBERT MILES, JR.

2×.2



PASSPORT

United States

of America

Exhibit

"

2

0000415693058640831089405228^^^^^^^^^^ でみに必みされてのの人でみじに人当れに当りな人へへへへへへへへへへへへへへへへへへへ

PASSPORT AGENCY WE CENTER, MEN YORK 23 MAY MAI 84 31 AUG/AOU 64 DENTED STATESTOF AMERICA
DEN el bish i som en anstans PAUL MILTON NEW YORK,

The S & A. This s'expenses 22 MAY/MAI 94

With PASSE Americanients Woodbeariens

N) P

PASSEPORT PASSEPORT

Sichado / Nom S See Assess MILES Security of the second

CHIED STATES OF AMERICA

SHA DA STATES OF AMERICA

SHA DA STATES OF AMERICA 060041569

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This passport is the property of the united states government it must be surrenofined upon demand stade by an authorized representative by the U.S. Jovern

EDES THEFT OR DESTRUCTION OF PASSMORT stocked be remoted montentains to local space authorities and in the Passmort Services. Readingson, D.C. SCEC. Or. 8 Decreases to the Reservoir Amount embesses or moreoless. Your prosperit is well-sold forcempting and identify sectioned it is stocked by carefully safety-safety in Decreases your decrease your unresesses years comprised as well as segretured expense.

ALTERATION OR METELATION OF PASSEOUT. This passeon must be affected or multisted in any way. Afterston may make a trivial to and a william may explore you be prosequence from the Life face, and the life for the Li

Example the expension date is given on the inside book cover

LOSS OF MATERIALITY, You may been your U.S. majorish by being declaration of a light person of the control of t

HATROBALS. A person is considered a cue rejoine when he extending the problems of the property of the second representation of the second property of the second of the second of the second property of the second of the seco

WHEN TRAVELING IN DISTURBED AREAS YOU STOUG BORD IS NOW!

* YOU RESULE ARROAD or when traveling to remote stress you around regards: at the member attraction employably or consulate.

7

AH 10-23-92

-THIS PASSPORT IS AMENDED TO CHANGE BEARER'S NAME TO READ JUNIOR MOHAMMED RICKETTS.

22

Amendments and Endorsements Modifications et Mentions Spéciales

4573161



Junior RICKETTS C/O Buffalo Federal Detention Facility A#27 024 434 4250 Federal Drive Batavia, New York 14020

Decision to Continue Detention Following File Review

This letter is to inform you that your custody status has been reviewed by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and that you will not be released from custody at this time.

This decision was based on a review of your file record and consideration of information you submitted to DHS' reviewing officials.

You are not being released because:

The record shows that you are a native and citizen of Jamaica who illegally reentered the United States with a fraudulently obtained US passport on or about February 2003 at New York City. You were issued an order to reinstate your removal order from April 03, 2000 on April 28, 2005. You filed with the 3rd District Court of Appeals and it was dismissed on April 16, 2007.

Due to your convictions for forgery, grand larceny, embezzlement, illicit transportation of minor with intent to engage in sexual act and intercourse without consent you are considered a threat to the community. Due to your uncooperativeness in assisting to obtain a travel document along with the fact that you reentered the US with a fraudulently obtained passport you are considered a major flight risk.

For the above-stated reason, it is therefore the decision of ICE that you should remain in custody at this time.

The DHS will conduct another review of your custody status in accordance with current regulations. It is in your best interest to maintain proper behavior while awaiting this review. If you have any questions please contact: <u>Deportation Officer Hester</u>

Buffalo Federal Detention Facility, 4250 Federal Drive, Batavia, NY 14020

William Cleary, Director DRO Field Office

6/6/07 Date

639



767 THIRD AVE. NEW YORK, NY 10017 TEL: (212) 935-9000 FAX: (212) 935-7507

Web: www.congenjamaica-ny.org
E-mail: registry@congenjamaica-ny.org

CONSULATE GENERAL OF JAMAICA

Ref. No.: 8/09

11 February 2008

Junior Ricketts A#27024434 Buffalo Federal Detention Facility 4250 Federal drive Batavia, NY 14020

Dear Mr. Ricketts,

This serves to acknowledge receipt of your most recent letter dated 5 February 2008.

We will continue to pursue this matter with Kingston in the hope that your case may be resolved satisfactorily to all concerned.

You will be advised of the outcome as soon as a response is received.

Yours sincerely,

Lisa Bryan Smart (Mrs.) Deputy Consul General



IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

JUNIOR M. RICKETTS A 27-024-434

Petitioner,

U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL

Respondent.

MOTION TO REINSTATE PETITION FOR REVIEW

Junior M. Ricketts, the petitioner herein, moves to reinstate his appeal, which was dismissed by the clerk on July 31, 2000 for want of prosecution. The grounds for this motion, as described more fully in the supporting affidavit filed herewith, are that the clerk dismissed this petition for review for failure to file a brief.

As petitioner was removed from the United States to

Jamaica thirteen days after his petition was filed and

before this Court had issued a Stay of Removal in violation

of Petitioner's constitutional right to a de novo judicial

hearing on his claim to American citizenship, and the fact



that his departure was not legally executed, the time for filing this motion should be tolled.

Also, as the enactment of the REAL ID Act which has eliminate any other forum for petitioner claim to United States citizenship to be judicial decided, petitioner pray that this Court will grant the motion in the interest of justice and make a determination on his claim of United States citizenship.

If this motion is granted by the Court, petitioner requests 14 days to file his opening brief.

Date: October 14, 2008

Junior M. Ricketts, Pro Se

A27-024-434

Buffalo Federal Detention Facility
4250 Federal Drive

Batavia, NY 14220

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

JUNIOR M. RICKETTS

PETITIONER

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO REINSTATE PETITION FOR REVIEW

V.

C.A. NO. 00-3270

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

RESPONDENT

State	of	New	York	.)	
County	of	Ger	nesse)	ss.;

Junior M. Ricketts being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- I, Junior M. Ricketts, am the named petitioner in the abovecaptioned matter, and I am making this affidavit in support of a Motion to reinstate petition for review.
- 1. On November 03, 1999 the Immigration Judge denied my motion to terminate removal proceeding stating that my claim to United States Citizenship was not proven, and ordered me deported to Jamaica. See Exhibit "A".
- 2. On March 08, 2000 the Board of Immigration Appeals



years in the Jamaica Constabulary Force Immigration Remand Center, I was removed from the Island by the Jamaican Government and repatriated home.

- 7. As a result of this departure that was not legally executed, I was denied the right to effectively present my appeal, and denied the right for a de novo judicial decision on my claim to United States Citizenship in violation of my constitutional right. See Ng Fung Ho v. White, 259 U.S. 276 (1922); Agosto v. INS, 436 U.S. (1978). Also See Joseph v. Attorney General of the U.S., 421 F.3d 224 (C.A.3. 2005).
- 8. On May 11, 2005, the REAL ID Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-13, 119 Stat. 231 was enacted. This new law purports to eliminate habeas corpus jurisdiction over challenges to final orders of removal, deportation, or exclusion, and as such, I am prevented to bring my claim of United States Citizenship in the lower Court. In other word, I have no other alternative forum (except in requesting that the court reinstate the petition under document number 00-3270) to present my case and have my United States Citizenship judicial decided.

9. As the right to judicial review of my citizenship claim and U.S. citizenship are guaranteed by the United States constitution, I am submitting this motion and affidavit praying that this court in the interest of justice will reinstate my petition for review and make a determination on my claim of United States Citizenship.

Date: October 14, 2008

Respectfully submitted

nior M. Ricketts

-024-434

Buffalo Federal Detention Facility

4250 Federal Drive

Batavia, New York 14020

Sworn to before me this:

----day of

Notary Public

CHARD ENSMINGER OF NOTARY CREE

EXHIBITS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Executive Office for Immigration Review Office of the Immigration Judge



Pout BOIR - 37 REV. - JUNE 93

		·
	the Matter of:	Case No.: A 27-024-434
<u>↓</u> ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Miles, Paul M. RESPONDENT	Docker: VSKC, Pa
HK A	Thiles Wan M. RESPONDENT	IN DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS
	ORDER OF THE IMMI	
Thi	is is a summary of the oral decision entered on	
Th	is memorandum is solely for the convenience of the part cision will become the official decision in this matter.	ies. If the proceedings should be appealed, the Ora
又	The respondent was ordered deported to	alca
	Respondent's application for voluntary departure wa	s denied and respondent was ordered deported to
· ————————————————————————————————————	or in the altern	native to
	respondent application for voluntary departure was	pranted until
	order of deportation to	
	Respondent's application for withholding of deportation Respondent's application for suspension of deportation Respondent's application for waiver under Section	on was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other n was () granted () denied () withdrawn () other of the Immigration and rawn () other.
	necessary to give effect to this order.	the respondent be issued all appropriate documents
	Respondent's status was rescinded under Section 246.	
	Respondent was advised of the limitation on discretion Immigration Judge's oral decision.	- not a governi
		Casaling
	t	Immigration Judge
	name to the	Date: 1 - 3 - 99
Appeal:	RESERVED/WAIVED FA/1/B) Decembe	Poss BOOR - 37



U.S. Department of Justing

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Board of Immigration Appeals Office of the Clerk

5201 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1300 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

RICKETTS, JUNIOR NATHANIEL 600 OLD COLONY ROAD SELINSGROVE, PA 17870-0000

INS LIT./York Co. Prison/YOR 3400 Concord Road York, PA 17402

COPY

Name: RICKETTS, JUNIO NATHANIEL

A27-024-434

Date of this notice: 03/08/2000

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision and order in the above-referenced case.

___Verv Truly Yours.

m (W. Wouman)

Paul W. Schmidt Chairman

Enclosure

Panel Members:

JONES, PHILEMINA M. THOMAS, ELLEN K. VACCA, FRED W. DEPARTHENT OF JUSTICE

45

ANDREWSE - 8

U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: A27 024 434 - York

Date:

MAR - 82000

In re: JUNIOR NATHANIEL RICKETTS a.k.a. Junior Mohammed Ricketts, a.k.a. Paul Milton

Miles

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEAL

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

ON BEHALF OF SERVICE:

Jeffrey T. Bubier

Assistant District Counsel

CHARGE:

Notice: Sec. 237(a)(1)(A), I&N Act [8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(1)(A)] -

Inadmissible at the time of entry or adjustment of status under section 212(a)(7)(A)(i)(I), I&N Act [8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(7)(A)(i)(I)] - Immigrant - no valid immigrant

visa or entry document

Sec. 237(a)(1)(B), I&N Act [8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(1)(B)] -

In the United States in violation of law

Sec. 237(a)(2)(A)(iii), I&N Act [8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(2)(A)(iii)] -

Convicted of aggravated felony

Sec. 237(a)(3)(D), I&N Act [8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(3)(D)] -

False claim of United States citizenship

APPLICATION: Termination

The respondent's appeal of the Immigration Judge's decision finding him to be a citizen and national of Jamaica and ordering him removed from the United States will be dismissed.

The respondent in this case asserts that the Immigration Judge erred in finding that he is a citizen and national of Jamaica. The respondent maintains that he was born in the United States on August 31, 1964. As such, the respondent claims that he is a United States citizen. The respondent also



Q-9

argues that the Immigration Judge abused his discretion in admitting certain documents into the record of proceedings obtained in violation of his rights under the Fourth and Fifth amendments of the United States Constitution.

The respondent was convicted on January 9, 1995, of illegal entry and harboring aliens in the United States in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1324(a)(1)(D). The respondent was also convicted of embezzlement of funds from a federally funded government agency, fraud and misuse of an alien registration card, and illicit transportation of a minor with the intent to engage in sexual activity, in violation of 8 U.S.C. §§ 666(a)(1)(A), 1546, and 2423. As a result of these convictions the Immigration and Naturalization Service served the respondent with a Notice to Appear (Form I-862) and charged him with deportability under sections 237(a)(1)(A), 237(a)(1)(B), 237(a)(2)(A)(iii), and 237(a)(3)(D), of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1227(a)(1)(A), 1227(a)(1)(B), 1227(a)(2)(A)(iii) and 1227(a)(3)(D). We note that the respondent does not contest the allegations that he was convicted or that the convictions are deportable offenses, instead, the respondent contests the Service's allegation that he is an alien.

The respondent in this case maintains that he was born Paul Milton Miles, son of Lizzie Mae Page and Robert Miles, in Brooklyn, New York. In support of this contention the respondent provided a copy of a birth certificate, United States passport, drivers license, credit report, educational records, and name change petition. The Immigration and Naturalization Service disputes the respondent's citizenship claim and charges that he is, in fact, a native and citizen of Jamaica. The Service asserts that while working for the New York City Human Resources Administration the respondent illegally obtained the records of the real "Paul Milton Miles," a United States citizen, and assumed his identity. The Service presented the testimony of Gary Hittelman, a retired Service Special Agent, and Gerard Hoey, Deputy Inspector General of the New York City Department of Investigation in support of their claim. Citing the respondent's lack of credibility, the Immigration Judge found that the respondent was not a United States citizen. The Immigration Judge, instead, concluded that the respondent was a native and citizen of Jamaica, and ordered him removed from the United States.

Neither the Immigration Judge nor this Board have the authority to deport a United States citizen. See Trop v. Dulles, 356 U.S. 86 (1957); Matter of Fuentes, 21 I&N Dec. 893, 898-99 (BIA 1997). Nevertheless, we concur with the Immigration Judge's conclusion finding that the respondent's claims to United States citizenship lack credibility (I.J. at 7). The respondent in this case presented inconsistent evidence in support of his claim to citizenship. For example, the respondent presented evidence that he legally changed his name from "Paul Milton Miles" to "Junior Mohammed Ricketts" in 1984. When confronted by the Service with the fact that the name "Junior Nathaniel Ricketts" appeared on a Jamaican passport found in his apartment the respondent testified that the passport had been obtained for him in 1984 by a Jamaican man named Junior Ricketts (Tr. at 187).



We find that the above discrepancy, along with many others detailed by the Immigration Judge in his written decision, provide specific and cogent reasons to support the Immigration Judge's conclusion that the respondent's claim lacks credibility. We note that a convincing explanation for these discrepancies has not been supplied by the respondent. Consequently, we will accord deference to the Immigration Judge's findings concerning the respondent's lack of credibility in this case. See Matter of A-S-, 21 I&N Dec. 1106 (BIA 1998).

Alternatively, we note that the Service has provided ample credible evidence showing the respondent to be a native and citizen of Jamaica. As noted above the Service provided a copy of the respondent's Jamaican passport, bearing his picture and signature. The Service also included copies of numerous arrival departure records (Form I-94) for a Junior Nathaniel Ricketts bearing the same identification number contained in his Jamaican passport. In light of the overwhelming evidence contained in the record we will affirm the Immigration Judge's decision in this case and find that the respondent is a native and citizen of Jamaica.

We reject the respondent's motion to suppress. Absent a showing of widespread abuse or an egregious violation that transgresses notions of fundamental fairness, an alien in immigration proceedings cannot suppress evidence assertedly procured in violation of his rights under the United States Constitution. See INS v. Lopez-Mendoza, 468 U.S. 1032 (1984). A motion to suppress must provide specific details of the Constitutional violation. See Matter of Barcenas, 19 I&N Dec. 609 (BIA 1988). In this case there is little evidence to support the respondent's assertions that the documentary evidence was procured in violation of his Constitutional rights. As such, we deny the respondent's motion to suppress. Accordingly, the following order shall be entered.

ORDER: The respondent's appeal is dismissed.

FOR THE BOARD

16

M-11





THE CITY OF NEW YORK

DEPARIMENT OF HEALTH BUREAU OF VITAL RECORDS CERTIFICATION OF BIRTH



This is a certification of name and birth facts on file in the Bureau of Vital Records, Department of Health, City of New York.

10-26-64

AUGUST 31, 1964

CERTIFICATE

156-64-337372

BOROUGH

BROOKLYN

03-30-90

NAME

JUNIOR MOHAMMED RICKETTS ***

ZEX

MALE

MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME LIZZIE MAE PAGE

FATHER'S NAME ROBERT MILES, JR.



				····
To be completed by Servi	ce officer executing	the warrant:		
Name of alien being remo	ved:			
Junior		RICKETTS	·	
Port, date, and manner of	removal: JFK	04/08/2	ppul 03,	Jamaica FLT# 01
		, 41 ×		·
				- Carrier
			•	
į				
	Dhataaa ta Car			
	Photograph of alice	en		Right inday fings
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	Temoved			Right index fingerprint of alien removed
	m 0	*		
Wanth &	Na Oxidett	The state of the s		
(Signaphre of al	ien being fingerprinted)	_		
Maga	She De	60°		
(Signa	nure and title of INS official taking	g print)		
•				
eparture witnessed by:	Loughas Sa	bus DE	i)	
	(Sign	sature and title of INS officia		
actual departure is not w	itnessed, fully identi	ify source or me	ans of verification	of departure:
		· ·	•	
				-
self-removal (salf daname	otion) nursuant to 0	OPD 044 G		
self-removal (self-deporta	idon), pursuant to 8	CFK 241.7, che	eck here. 🗌	
enartura Varified L.				
eparture Verified by:	/6:	gnature and little of INIC of		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

20

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 14, 2008 I, Junior M. Ricketts, caused to be served on Respondent's a copy of the Petitioner's Motion via regular mail, address to:

Michael Muskasey U.S. Attorney General United States Department of Justice 10th Street NW and Constitution Ave Washington, DC 20530

Thomas W. Hussey, Director U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division, OIL P.O. Box 878, Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044

A27-024-434

Pro Se

Buffalo Federal Detention Facility 4250 Federal Drive Batavia, New York 14020

21

IN THE UNTED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JUNIOR M. RICKETTS A27-024-434

PETITIONER

٧.

Charles Mule, Facilities Director,
Buffalo Federal Detention Facility
David Venurella, Director
Post-Order Detention Unit, 07-CV-0138-Sr
Bureau of Immigration and Customs
Enforcement;
Peter J. Smith, District Director,
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services;
Alberto Gonzales, Attorney General of the
United States.

RESPONDENT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Junior M. Ricketts, hereby certify on October 16, 2008, I cause to be served on Respondents' Attorney a copy of the Petitioner's Memorandum in Response to Respondent's Supplemental Affidavit by the United States Postal Service to the following participant at her last know address:

Gail Y. Mitchell Assistant U.S. Attorney United States Attorney's Office Western District of New York 138 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, New York 14202

Pro Se

A 27-024-434

Buffalo Federal Detention Facility 4250 Federal Drive

Batavia, New York 14020